

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/469,913	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PETERSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Inder P Mehra	<b>Art Unit</b> 2666	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Inder P Mehra.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Roger S. Burleigh, Attorney, Regd. No. 40,542.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 February 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*None*
**Claims discussed:**
*1,3,12, 14, 18 and 20*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed claims 1,3,12, 14, 18 and 20, as set forth in Examiner's Amendment in office action.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder Pal Mehra 2/17/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)